

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 1678 112740-187 09/825,084 04/02/2001 Ian Catley EXAMINER 29177 09/27/2004 UBILES, MARIE C

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P.O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2642

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/825,084	CATLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marie C. Ubiles	2642
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/16/04.		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 2 and 4-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 and 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [y (PTO-413) Nate
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	- □	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on June 16, 2004 has been entered. Claims 2 and 4-6 have been amended. Claims 1 and 3 have been cancelled. Claim 7 has been added. Claims 2 and 4-7 are still pending in this application, with claim 7 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis et al. (US 4, 436, 962) in view Herrick et al. (US 5,521,970).

Art Unit: 2642

As for claim 7, Davis et al. (US 4,436,962) discloses a method of providing team functions (or *group coverage*) in a communications network (or *telephone system*) (See Abstract, lines 1-3) having first, second, and third subscriber terminals (or call covering stations) (See Fig. 9, elements 902, 903, 104), each subscriber terminal having at least one subscriber line associated therewith (See Col. 2, lines 64-67), the method comprising, assigning said first, second and third subscriber lines to a call acceptance group (or *group coverage*)(See Col.1, lines 55-61 and Col. 11, line 44 through Col. 12, line 3), in a first operating mode switching the call to the first operating terminal (or *principal station*) and signaling the call in parallel (or *simultaneously*) on said second subscriber line (as read on "each of the stations of a pre-specified coverage group is flashed") for call answering on second subscriber terminal and signaling said call in parallel on the first subscriber line and on the second subscriber line and on the second subscriber line for call answering on said first and second subscriber terminals (See Col. 1, lines 53-65).

It can be seen that Davis et al. lacks the limitation specifying "encompassing multiple communication terminals".

In regards to this, Herrick et al. teaches "Specifically according to the invention, in response to invocation of call coverage for a call that is connected to a first switch, the first switch connects the call to a second switch that serves a call-covering endpoint of the call, and also the first switch signals the second switch that the call is a coverage call. In response to receiving the signaling, the second switch determines the availability of the call-covering endpoint to receive the call. If the call-covering endpoint

Art Unit: 2642

is available, the second switch alerts (e.g., rings) the call-covering endpoint of the call, and also signals the first switch that the call-covering endpoint is available. Advantageously, since there is substantially no delay between determining the available status of the endpoint and the undertaking (including alerting) to connect the call to the endpoint, there is no danger of the available status becoming obsolete before the connecting can be undertaken. If the call-covering endpoint is not available, the second switch signals the first switch to that effect. In response to receiving the signaling that the call-covering endpoint is not available, the first switch disconnects the call from the second switch. Advantageously, in this latter case, the call is again merely connected to the first switch as it was prior to commencement of the coverage efforts, the first switch has not lost control of the call to the second switch, and hence the first switch can continue to attempt to cover the call as dictated by the coverage path of the endpoint that was the original destination of the call. The total net effect of the invention is that call coverage works--from a user viewpoint—identically across a network of switches as it does on a single switch." (See Summary of the Invention, Col. 2, lines 11-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method by configuring the call acceptance group such that first said first subscriber terminal (See Fig 1, element 11) and said at least second subscriber terminal (See Fig. 1, element 22) belong to multiple communications systems (See Fig. 1, PBX 10 and PBX 20), as taught by Herrick et al.; thus providing a method for call forwarding in which –from a user viewpoint- the call

Art Unit: 2642

coverage across a network of switches behaves identically, as it does on a single switch.

As for claim 6, Davis et al. also discloses that a call made to the first subscriber line is diverted to a second subscriber terminal on which corresponding user information was entered (See Claim 15).

Claim 4 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 7.

2. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis et al. (US 4, 436, 962) in view Herrick et al. (US 5,521,970) as applied to claims 4-7 above, and further in view of Brown et al.

It can be seen that the combination of Davis et al. and Harris et al. et al. lacks the limitation specifying "wherein a call acceptance group is set up for each first subscriber line" and "wherein a call made to a first subscriber line is transferred in the first operating mode, following input of user information, to the at least one further second subscriber line on which the call made to the first subscriber line was signaled, and a call diverted to the second subscriber line is picked up in the second operating mode, following input of user information, on an associated first subscriber line."

Brown et al. teaches "A principal can have all of his calls covered by his personal secretary [...] backup secretaries would only answer after sufficient time that the personal secretary is unlikely to answer. The calls are offered to both the covered and covering terminal at the same time." (See Background of the invention, Column 2, lines 33-39). Brown et al. further teaches that "Three principals [Bob, Steve and Dick] each

Art Unit: 2642

have a personal secretary. Each secretary Ann, Bev, and Joy has three monitor feature buttons and three monitor lamps to indicate the collective status of the call appearances on [each executive] terminal." (See Detailed description, Column 5, lines 25-35 and Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the combination of Davis et al. and Herrick et al., as per the teachings of Brown et al.; so that the secretaries on secondary subscriber lines will be able to monitor and answer calls for more than one executive first subscriber lines on different call acceptance groups.

As per the limitation specifying "a first subscriber line is transferred...following input of user information" may be read for example on the executive using the "XFER" button on his or her station to transfer a call to his or her secretary (See Fig. 2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marie C. Ubiles whose telephone number is (703) 305-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marie C. Ubiles September 19, 2004.

> BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Const